



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,116		06/26/2003	Michael J. Berman	03-0538	03-0538 3693	
24319	7590	03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE				NGUYEN, GEOR	NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH	
MS: D-106		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS	, CA 950	35		3723		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(<u> </u>	~	7
	1	1	_

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/607,116	BERMAN, MICHAEL J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
George Nguyen	3723	

Advisory Action	10/607,116	BERMAN, MICHAEL J.	•				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George Nguyen	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	s				
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		NIHTIW C				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate of the fee. The final Office a	extension fee ction; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing the	Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		use				
(a) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	•	i E below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the	issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timal. Elad amandmant a	oncolina tha				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an expla	anation of				
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-7</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	· otion of Annaal will not be	optored				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is ne	cessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	7		because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: Mugnyen							
Ge orge Nguyen Primary Examiner		George Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended languages set forth in the claims would require further consideration and search.